| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|--|---|--|
|  | 09/345,092  | WALKER ET AL.  |
|  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
| <u> </u>   | Tri V. Nguyen   | 1751   |
| All Participants:  | Status of Application:  |  |
| (1) <u>Tri V. Nguyen</u> .   | (3)   | •  |
| (2) <u>Carson Fincham</u> .  | (4)   |  |
| Date of Interview: 1 March 2007  | Time: <u>1PM</u>  |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   | ant's representative)   |  |
| Part I.  |   | ·  |
| Rejection(s) discussed: 103(a)   |   |  |
| Claims discussed:<br>59  |   |  |
| Prior art documents discussed:  Joseph (US 5,878,401)  |   |  |
| Part II.   |   |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE<br>An authorization for an examiner's amendment was granted by to<br>to its continued prosecution in a continuation application. Detail   | he Applicant's Representative to                                | o cancel claim 59 without prejudice                            |
| Part III.  |   |  |
| <ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul> | e examiner will provide a writer record of the substance of the | tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican   | t/Applicant's Representative S                                  | Signature – if appropriate)                                    |